DOI: 10.52363/passa-2022.2-10

**UDC: 354.057** 

Batyr Yuriy., PhD of Economic Sciences, associate professor, National University of

Civil Defence of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine.

ORCIDE: 0000-0001-5282-3680

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF A LEADER IN MODERN COUNTRY

**GOVERNANCE** 

The article deals with the problems associated with modern approaches to

leadership in the highest public authorities. Great historical figures, as well as great

public ideas, arise, as a rule, at turning points in world history or the history of a

particular nation. Personality is formed in the most complex social processes, in the

interweaving of many social factors. The formation of personality is carried out in the

process of direct and indirect influence on the individual of the entire system of social

institutions.

The fascists of the future will call themselves anti-fascists," said Winston Churchill.

One way or another, this phrase turned out to be truly prophetic. After all, Russian

"denazifiers" write articles that would make Goebels himself envious, directly admitting

to planning a genocide.

Unfortunately, it has fallen to our lot to face the worst manifestations of dictator rule

in a state neighboring Ukraine. Russia with its sole leadership for 22 years, promotion of

the idea of the "Russian world", constant military conflicts against other states, zombie

(without alternatives) of its servile population, strict regulation of society and the

economy, and, finally, a bloody war against the "brotherly" people in Ukraine, fully meets

the Nazi system.

What kind of Nazism can there be in a country in which over the past 18 years, since

2004, candidates from the extreme right to the extreme left have been elected for president.

107

And in 2019, the people, wanting changes for the better, elected the artist Volodymyr Zelensky as president of Ukraine. How can we talk about Nazism in such a democratic country as Ukraine? The leadership and organizational abilities of Vladimir Zelensky, which were formed in the 95th quarter project, were fully manifested with the outbreak of the war with the Russian Federation. The former artist and comedian Volodymyr Zelensky has turned into the greatest leader who has united not only the entire people of Ukraine, but the whole world around him.

Key words: leadership, state administration, dictator, democracy, fascism, war.

Formulation of the problem. Understanding the course of history inevitably raises questions about the role of this or that person in it: did she change the course of history; whether such a change was inevitable or not; what would have happened without this person? On the one hand, we know a huge number of cases where a change of personality (even under such dramatic circumstances as a series of assassinations of monarchs and coups) did not entail decisive changes. On the other hand, there are circumstances, which are discussed below, when even a trifle can become decisive. Thus, it is very difficult to grasp what the role of the individual depends on: on himself, the historical situation, historical laws, accidents, or all at once, and in what combination, and how exactly, is very difficult.

Recent research and publications analysis. The problems associated with modern approaches to leadership in the highest public authorities were considered in their works by domestic and foreign scientists, namely: L. Andersen, D. Kellis, T. Ofitserov, T. Podlesnaya, L. Tammers, V. Tolkovanova, P. Khaitov and others. Despite the great attention of scientists to these issues, the processes of formation and establishment of aggressive dictators require further research, especially in the context of their military, terrorist and fascist aggression.

**Paper objective.** The aim of the work is to analyze the factors influencing the formation of a leader and his role in the course of historical processes.

Research results. A person, for all its potentially important role, is very often unable to foresee even the immediate, not to mention long-term, consequences of his activity, since historical processes are very complex, and over time, more and more unforeseen consequences of past events are revealed. At the same time, a person can have a significant impact not only by actions, but also by inaction, not only directly, but also indirectly, during his life or even after death, and a noticeable mark in the history and further development of societies can be not only positive, but also negative, and also - quite often - unambiguously and forever not determined, especially since the assessment of a person depends on political and national predilections [2].

Great historical figures, as well as great public ideas, the creators and exponents of which they are, arise, as a rule, in critical eras in world history or the history of a particular nation. But it is not great personalities who create, bring to life great epochs, but, on the contrary, great epochs are that fertile ground, a condition when the talents, geniuses, talents of this or that personality can mature, appear and be realized.

Personality formation is a multifaceted process, which is why we talk about it as a problem. Ordinary consciousness perceives the problem of personality formation as harmonious and comprehensively developed as follows: to know everything and be able to do everything. This is a rather naive position. Personality is formed in the most complex social processes, in the interweaving of many social factors. The formation of personality is carried out in the process of direct and indirect influence on the individual of the entire system of social institutions. Therefore, the dialectics of the system is quite significant: "institution - person": "person - institution". The goal in this interaction is the same: the emergence of a person with an established psyche, consciousness, personal culture and activity, that is, the formation of a comprehensively developed personality with a wide range of needs corresponding to developed individual abilities. If there is no specified goal: the individual has a lot of needs, but there are no abilities for their implementation, then it is not necessary to talk about an established and developed personality. In this case, only an individual with high needs and modest abilities appears, which gives rise to a type of person of deviant behavior, threatening delinquency and a negative assessment of

society. Therefore, the formation of personality necessarily includes its upbringing and further development. In general, the algorithm is as follows: personality formation is the target activity of the institutions of society, education is the instillation of attitudes in the process of this activity, development is the personal, personal level of the individual, as a product of this activity, capable of self-activity [6].

Historical conditions ultimately determine the format of an individual's activity. No figure, even the most prominent, can go beyond this format. So, if the necessary prerequisites for a higher degree of social development (in accordance with the spheres of social life) have not ripened, then not a single political figure is able to cause a transition to it by his personal actions (even a charismatic person). At best, it can speed up the course of the public process. It's good if this acceleration is progressive, but it can also slow it down (until objective positions again prevail, as it happened in the history of mankind during the period when Hitler was in power in Germany, Stalin in Russia, Mao Zedong in China, in Romania - Ceausescu). No individual can create history according to his own preference, can not return social and social development back, for example, from an industrial society to a feudal one. Hitler in fascist Germany (1933-1945) made an attempt in the 20th century to restore the slave-owning world order, which Germany led to, is well known [3].

The Russians themselves give the definition of fascism in the Big Encyclopedia. Fascism (Italian *fascismo*, from *fascio* - union, bundle, bundle, association; *fascio di combattimento* - union of struggle) is an ideology and socio-political movement within the framework of authoritarian militaristic ultranationalism and a corresponding dictatorial form of government with strict regulation of society and the economy. [1].

Unfortunately, fascism, at its worst, has resurfaced in the 21st century. The fascists of the future will call themselves anti-fascists." Either Winston Churchill said or did not say. One way or another, this phrase turned out to be truly prophetic. After all, "denazifiers" write articles that would make Goebels himself envious, directly admitting to planning a genocide and which not only smell like fascism, not only look like Nazism, but they are the pure and frank embodiment of classical fascism, and no one hides it [7].

Unfortunately, it has fallen to our fate to face the worst manifestations of the rule of a dictator in a state neighboring Ukraine. Russia with its sole leadership for 22 years, promotion of the idea of the "Russian world", constant military conflicts against other states, zombie (without alternatives) of its servile population, strict regulation of society and the economy, and, finally, a bloody war against the "brotherly" people in Ukraine, fully meets the Nazi system.

The Russian Federation, with its servile nature, has given birth to the most terrible dictator of the 21st century - Putin. Even Angela Merkel stated back in 2015 that Putin lives in another world dimension, that is, in other words, he is crazy [5]. The formation of this essence lasted 22 years, not only with the tacit consent of the people, but also indulgence on the part of the leaders of the leading countries of Europe. Its aggressiveness manifested itself both in the declaration of an energy war (2008), which lasted until recently (in 2021, the price of gas reached the cosmic level of \$2,000 per 1 cubic meter) [4], and direct military conflicts in Northern Ossetia, Chechnya, Georgia, Moldova, Syria, Donetsk and Lugansk of Ukraine, annexation of Crimea from Ukraine. Unfortunately, Europe and the entire civilized world turned a blind eye to these manifestations, which led to a large-scale Russian military invasion of Ukraine on February 24, 2022. Now this war has acquired the character of a struggle between the ideals of democracy on the part of Ukraine and the ideology of terrorism, violence, barbarism and Nazism on the part of the Russian Federation. The most terrible thing in this war is the constant threats from the possessed dictator with nuclear weapons, which could lead to a third world war. But this "great" dictator turned out to be a cowardly rat who has been hiding in his vaunted bunker since the first days of the war. Therefore, in our opinion, the use of nuclear weapons on his part (especially since the announcement by Great Britain and the United States of an instant retaliatory strike on their part) is out of the question, because most of all he is cowardly for his insignificant life. What is terrible (historically and politically) in this war is that the people of Russia support their schizoid dictator by 80 percent. On the one hand, this is the work of Russian propaganda, and on the other hand, it is political stupidity and historical idolatry (faith in the tsar-priest and disbelief in God).

The talk of this entity (Putin) and all their propaganda about the denazification and demilitarization of Ukraine is a blatant lie. What kind of Nazism can there be in a country in which over the past 18 years, since 2004, candidates from the extreme right to the extreme left blocs have been elected for president. And in 2019, the people, wanting changes for the better, elected the artist Vladimir Zelensky as president of Ukraine. How can we talk about Nazism in such a democratic country as Ukraine?

The leadership and organizational abilities of Vladimir Zelensky, which were formed in the 95th quarter project, were fully manifested with the outbreak of the war with the Russian Federation. Despite bribery and recommendations to leave Kyiv, he remained in the capital from the first days of the war and even at the most critical moments. The former artist and comedian Vladimir Zelensky has turned into the greatest leader who has united not only the entire people of Ukraine, but the whole world around him. Vladimir Zelensky, together with the people of Ukraine, became the chosen one, whose mission is the victory of good over evil, democracy over authoritarianism, free democratic world and the salvation of mankind.

Conclusions. Putin was formed as a dictator, a schizophrenic Nazi, a terrorist and a murderous maniac, thanks primarily to the servile nature of the Russian people and the liberal politics of the civilized world and Europe. In contrast, Vladymyr Zelensky, having no experience in political activity, having become the president of a truly democratic Ukraine, showed himself during the war, despite intimidation and bribery, as a powerful, strong, unbending leader who united all of Ukraine, the whole world and all leaders around him. leading countries thanks to their fortitude, self-sacrifice, faith in Ukraine and Ukrainians, and in the ideals of democracy.

## **References:**

- 1. Galkin A. A. Great Soviet encyclopedia: [in 30 volumes]. M. Soviet Encyclopedia, 1977.
  - 2. Grinin L. The role of personality in history: history and theory of the

- issue. URL: https://www.academia.edu/29708043\_4-2011
- 3. The historical role of the special. URL: https://pidru4niki.com/76985/kulturologiya/istorichna\_rol\_osobistosti
- 4. How has the price of Russian gas for Ukraine changed over the course of 24 years? URL: https://ru.slovoidilo.ua/2016/02/12/info-grafika/jekonomika/kakmenyalas-cena-rossijskogo-gaza-dlya-ukrainy-na-protyazhenii-24-let
- 5. Merkel explained why she would not invite Putin to the G7 summit. URL:https://www.bbc.com/russian/international/2015/01/150116\_merkel\_putinoba ma\_ukraine.
- 6. The role of singularity in history. URL: https://stud.com.ua/17783/filosofiya/rol\_osobistosti\_istoriyi
- 7. Fursa S. The phrase "Fascists of the future will call themselves antifascists" turned out to be truly prophetic.